UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,312	06/08/2006	Takayuki Tsukizawa	36856.1439	5223
54066 7590 04/27/2010 MURATA MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD. C/O KEATING & BENNETT, LLP			EXAMINER	
			PHAN, THIEM D	
1800 Alexander Bell Drive SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Reston, VA 20191			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/596,312	TSUKIZAWA ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
THIEM PHAN	3729	
	<u>-</u>	

Part of Paper No. 20100422

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 15 March 2010.
 Improper Request – The R reason(s): 	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ler appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from con	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: usideration: <u>23-25</u> .
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) THIEM PHAN/ Primary AU3729.	(3) <u>MICHAEL PHILLIPS</u> .
(2) <u>DERRIS BANKS/ SPE AU3729</u> .	(4)
/Phan Thiem/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729 April 22, 2010	/Derris H Banks/ /Michael Phillips/ RQAS Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3729